

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,008	0	7/03/2002	Gilbert Wolrich	10559-311US1	5753
7	590	01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
Fish & Richardson				PAN, DANIEL H	
225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2183	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/070,008	WOLRICH ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel Pan	2183					
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication appe		porrospondonos address					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS	had anima a the date of filling a bail	f will not be entered because					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		empliant Amondment (DTOL 224)					
	1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
	_ <u> </u>						
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-14 and 17-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,15,16 have be	en canceled.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	wit or other evidence is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other: .							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to the newly amended specified value in the branch instruction in claim 1, and the argument directed to this feature in applicant's response on 12/27/05, Hasegaga taught clearly Branch after 3 to x (see fig.5A). The x is the target address, and the 3 is the value specified in the branch instruction. If the value is not equal to 3, no x address would be targeted. Therefore, the "Yes" and 'No" was the true and false. As to the argument by applicant that the Z flag was set previously by other instruction , not by branch, Hasegawa taught his predictive branch instruction was decoded , and as result, a value of 3 was stored in the region 23 of the branch instruction, and this is inputted to the down counter 31 as the intial value of the Z flag (see col.9, lines 44-50). Therefore, it is clear that Hasegawa's branch instruction did specify the Z (the intial value of Z) itself .

2